

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,457	04/20/2001	Paul F. Struhsaker	WEST14-00022	4833	
7590 09/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
William A. Munck, Esq. NOVAKOV DAVIS & MUNCK, P.C.			GEORGE, KEITH M		
900 Three Galle	· ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
13155 Noel Road			2663		
Dallas, TX 75240			DATE MAILED: 09/30/200-	DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/839,457	STRUHSAKER ET	AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Keith M. George	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper replich places the application.	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l		•••				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below):				
(a) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
<ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ replace the application in condition for allow</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul>	wance because: See Continuation	Sheet.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>S</b> :					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-10 and 15-20</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 11-14.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						
•						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments that the cited prior art does not teach determining a longest time duration required for downlink transmission to avoid interference between uplink and downlink portions is not persuasive. Gilbert has been shown to clearly teach accepting updates and commands from the cluster controller thereby changing the uplink/downlink time slot allocations based upon bandwidth requirements. Gilbert also teaches that the base stations monitor the bandwidth requirements of their respective cells and report results back to the cluster controller. Therefore, it is clear that the cluster controller determines, from the traffic requirements as reported to it by the base stations, the uplink/downlink time slot allocations. The time slots allocation for each device will be different depending on the bandwidth requirements and therefore one allocation will be longer than the rest. The cluster controller must use this information to change the uplink/downlink time slot allocations. The cluster controller would not schedule an uplink and a downlink time slot at the same time, otherwise interference would occur and no communication could take place, which would defeat the purpose of Gilbert.

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 9/27/04